Expedited project questions to consider in advance of February's work group meetings

## Cap

1. The MPCA and DNR propose to set an overall cap of \$25 million to fund expedited projects. What do the work groups think about this proposed cap level?

## **Cost-sharing**

- The MPCA and DNR think it is important that the Grant fund activities that directly align with the
  priorities of the 2018 3M Agreement and Order ("Settlement Agreement"), and that if some
  aspects of a project don't align with those priorities, the project should have an appropriate
  cost-share.
  - a. Should all projects, regardless of the consistency of their goals with the Settlement Agreement's priorities, require some minimum level of cost-sharing (e.g., x%)?
  - b. Should the extent of cost share vary according to how consistent the MPCA and DNR believe that the project's objectives align with the Settlement Agreement's priorities?
  - c. If cost-sharing depends on the nature of the project, what elements do the work groups think should be eligible or not eligible for Grant funding?
- 3. What should be done for cost-sharing if the project includes the provision of drinking water to a large parcel of property, and the existence of the water connection increases the value of the property if the property is subdivided in the future?
- 4. Do your local governments have formulas that could be used to determine what level of cost sharing should be applied?

## Other

- 5. Must all homes in a neighborhood be connected to city water as a condition of the project being funded for a proposed neighborhood connection?
- 6. If homes are connected, will a hookup require a home to have its private well sealed?