


Priority 1 Criteria Draft Evaluation Framework: Work Group Feedback

Terill Hollweg, Abt Associates
3M PFC Settlement Work Group Meetings
October 15-16, 2019

Approach



Questions

- Do you have any additional thoughts or comments on the draft evaluation framework?
- Do you have any concerns with the comments received to date?
- Do you have any other comments/questions?



Survey Results: Focus Criteria

	Most Important	More Important	Somewhat Important
1. For drinking water supply projects, projects that directly address water supplies where health based values, health risk limits, and/or health risk indices for PFAS are exceeded will be evaluated more favorably	17	2	0
2. For groundwater protection/restoration projects, projects that are expected to directly or indirectly address water supplies where health based values, health risk limits, and/or health risk indices for PFAS are exceeded will be evaluated more favorably	13	4	2

Note: Criteria listed as N/A at the scenario-level are greyed out

Survey Results: Implementation Criteria

	Most Important	More Important	Somewhat Important
3. Has a high probability of success	8	10	1
4. Has the potential to adapt to new technologies (if applicable)	3	8	8
5. Provides long-term benefits	13	5	1
6. Provides multiple benefits	6	8	5
7. Addresses future needs and conditions	9	8	2
8. Has low risk of adverse impacts from remedial actions	3	9	7
9. Has low risk of unintended adverse health impacts	Added between October and November 2018 meetings		
10. Minimizes adverse environmental impacts	9	9	1
11. Minimizes adverse social impacts	4	5	10
12. Benefits can be measured for success	6	10	3

Note: Criteria listed as N/A at the scenario-level are greyed out

Survey Results: Cost Criteria

	Most Important	More Important	Somewhat Important
13. Is cost-effective	8	8	3
14. Has reasonable long-term O&M costs	4	12	3
15. Has appropriate cost sharing (if applicable)	3	8	8

Note: Criteria listed as N/A at the scenario-level are greyed out

Survey Results: Other/Acceptance Criteria

	Most Important	More Important	Somewhat Important
16. Would not otherwise occur	5	7	7
17. Leverages funds or builds upon existing efforts	2	10	7
18. Is consistent with regional planning (if applicable)	1	6	12
19. Is consistent with local planning (if applicable)	2	7	10
20. Is acceptable to the public	3	6	10

Note: Criteria listed as N/A at the scenario-level are greyed out