Contamination Related Screening and Evaluation

Karen Carney, Abt Associates

January 17, 2024

PFAS Consideration Options

	Options	Description	
	1	Limit projects to those types of activities that do not increase PFAS related risks (i.e., no aquatic, wetland, or nearshore habitat restoration or fishing projects)	
	3	Allow projects with PFAS sensitive activities to move forward if they are outside specific high-risk areas	Screening
	4	Include PFAS contamination status in the project evaluation process for projects with PFAS sensitive activities; this would be assessed qualitatively	- Evaluation

3+4 Hybrid – Option

Option 3: High Risk Area Screening Aims

Major Aims:

- Avoid investing in areas that may be significantly impacted by future remediation activities
- Avoid funding PFAS sensitive activities in areas with a high risk of PFAS related natural resource injuries

Option 3: High Risk Area Screening Approach

High Level Approach:

- Projects of any type will not be allowed in areas where future remedial construction is planned or highly likely (regardless of the contaminant of concern)
- PFAS sensitive projects will not be allowed in the following high-risk areas:
 - 3M Disposal Sites
 - A portion of Raleigh Creek downstream from Oakdale Disposal Site
 - Mississippi River at the 3M Cottage Grove production facility

Option 4: Evaluation Approach

Evaluation Approach:

- Where contamination data are available, we will review available data, and compare the data to established natural resource injury thresholds
 - Publicly available sampling data (fish tissue, surface water, sediment, etc.)
 - Other relevant data sets that can support risk analysis
- Where contamination data are not available, we will enlist expert judgement to assess the potential for natural resource injury

Questions?

 Feedback or questions on the contamination related screening and evaluation approach?

